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**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Preliminary Assessment**

##### **Allmand**

SecureWatch was utilized to conduct a preliminary assessment across different facilities including West Ward. In this process, specific questions were distributed to all the facilities and risk scores were calculated for each facility.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Facility Risk Level |  **MEDIUM**  |

The Risk Score for **Allmand** is: 39.0

**RS = (FS + GS) \* C**

(Risk Score equals (the sum of Facility Score and Gap Score) times Consequence)

**The Facility Score (FS)** is determined by adding the Threat Level (TL) and Facility Type Criticality (FC) scores together.

**The Gap Score (GS)** is assigned based on the level of non-compliance with either regulatory or company security standards.

**Consequence score (C)** is the score defined by the administrator.

The preliminary assessment for West Ward was answered by Sowjanya Dusanapudi, Sowjanya Dusanapudi, Sindhures Sindhures

# CAP INDEX

RiskWatch is a Crime Index partner that imports pertinent criminal history of the facility’s area into the report. CAP Index’s CRIMECAST® products are derived from an advanced evaluation system designed to identify the risk of personal and property crimes at any location in the United States, the United Kingdom and Canada; providing actionable crime risk forecasting data and maps.

| **CAP INDEX DATA** | **DEFINITION** | **VALUE** | **THREAT LEVEL** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Latitude |  | 17.5141288 |  |
| Longitude |  | 78.3669283 |  |
| Date Scored |  | - |  |
| Inner Radius | The inner radius represents 1 mile or a population of 25,000, equaling 2/3 of the overall score. |  |  |
| Outer Radius | The outer radius represents 3 miles or a population of 100,000, providing the remaining 1/3. |  |  |
| 1st Geographic Level - National |  | - |  |
| Current Year | Year of data – the risk of crime for the scored location, during this time frame. | - |  |
| National Current CAP Index | The overall risk of crime at the location scored as compared to the National average of 100, scale of 0 to 2000. National scores are comparable throughout the U.S. | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Homicide |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Rape |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Robbery |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Aggravated Assault |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Crimes Against Persons |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Burglary |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Larceny |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Motor Vehicle Theft |  | 0 | 1 |
| National Current Crimes Against Property |  | 0 | 1 |
| Overall Facility Threat Level | This is an average Threat Level for this facility based on the threat levels for each Cap Index data points on a scale of 1 - 5. |  | 1 |

\*\*\*Note: The average Threat Level for this facility based on the threat levels for each Cap Index data points on a scale of 1 - 5.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Overall Facility Threat Level | This is an average Threat Level for this facility based on the threat levels for each Cap Index data points on a scale of 1 - 5. Measured as follows:1 = 0-992 = 100-1993 = 200-3994 =400-7995 = 800+ |

The following is a list of questions included in the survey. Any images that were uploaded in the survey are displayed in the “Appendix: Images” at the end of this report.

##### **Survey Summary:**

| **Question Number** | **Question** | **Response** | **File(s)Uploaded** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 24 | Are signs placed on all perimeter access points to the site that clearly state access to the property is restricted to employees or those with business to conduct - or wording that conveys the same message? | Yes Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 25 | Is the perimeter of the property delineated with signs that convey a “No Trespassing” message in a clear manner with signs a minimum of 144 square inches and lettering at least 2” tall? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 26 | Are the signs referred to at BASCO spaced at intervals no greater than 500 feet? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 27 | Has the access control identification card allocation been audited within the past two weeks? | Yes Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 28 | Is there a process to identify lost/stolen identification cards? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 29 | Is the public access point into the building configured so as to prevent access beyond that point without authorization? | Yes Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 30 | Is there a key control system in place? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 31 | Can all keys be accounted for? | Yes Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 32 | Have all staff been observed to be wearing company identification in an approved manner? | N/A Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 33 | Have all contractors been correctly entered into the access control system or the visitor’s book? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 34 | Is the visitors book maintained accurately and currently up to date? | No Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 35 | Can all day badges be accounted for? | Yes Comment: No comment added | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 36 | Are all roller doors functioning correctly and capable of being secured? (Where there are none answer yes) | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 37 | Where roller doors are left open is there an acceptable method of preventing access in place (e.g. locked wire gates in a good state of repair) Where there are no roller doors answer yes. | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 289 | Test 002 | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 59 | Are shrubs and bushes near entrances and around the parking lot trimmed to eliminate hiding places? | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 60 | Are markings in the parking lot to indicate traffic flow and parking areas in a good state of repair and readily visible? | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 61 | Is the parking lot in a good state of repair? | - Comment: - | ~{images}~{docs} |

The following is a list of gaps that were found in the answers submitted. Only the questions which were found to be non-compliant were included in this report and the comments associated with the responses were also included. Any images that were uploaded in the survey are displayed in the Appendix: Images at the end of this report.

Physical Inspection Findings:

|  |
| --- |
| **Definitions** |
| **Findings** – Descriptions of specific deficiencies that may affect facility security |
| **Compliance Standards** – Reference Company compliance standards as described in the Security Policies |
| **Recommendations** – Description of remedies that are intended to alleviate or reduce the potential for breaches of facility security |
| **Target Date** – Assigned date that deficiencies need to be corrected or repaired |
| **Responsibility** – Individual initially charged with remediation of a finding |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Gaps # | Question | No Action Required | Action Required (See Findings Below) | File(s)Uploaded |
| 1 | Is the perimeter of the property delineated with signs that convey a “No Trespassing” message in a clear manner with signs a minimum of 144 square inches and lettering at least 2” tall? |  | Task [1937] | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 2 | Are the signs referred to at BASCO spaced at intervals no greater than 500 feet? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 3 | Is there a process to identify lost/stolen identification cards? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 4 | Is there a key control system in place? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 5 | Have all contractors been correctly entered into the access control system or the visitor’s book? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 6 | Is the visitors book maintained accurately and currently up to date? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 7 | Are all roller doors functioning correctly and capable of being secured? (Where there are none answer yes) | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 8 | Where roller doors are left open is there an acceptable method of preventing access in place (e.g. locked wire gates in a good state of repair) Where there are no roller doors answer yes. | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 9 | Test 002 | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 10 | Are shrubs and bushes near entrances and around the parking lot trimmed to eliminate hiding places? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 11 | Are markings in the parking lot to indicate traffic flow and parking areas in a good state of repair and readily visible? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |
| 12 | Is the parking lot in a good state of repair? | X |  | ~{images}~{docs} |

**Inspection Narrative**

No narrative found.

**Findings**

Comment(s) on Gap:1

No comment added for Gap:1

Recommendation for Gap: 1
Question Text : Is the perimeter of the property delineated with signs that convey a “No Trespassing” message in a clear manner with signs a minimum of 144 square inches and lettering at least 2” tall?

Compliance Standard:
No compliance standard added for Gap:1

Responsibility:
sindhuRes sindhuRes

Evidence:
No attachments found for this response

**DISCLAIMER**

The information in this document is warranted as accurate only for the purposes for which it is intended and is not warranted if used for any other purposes. The information provided in this document is for the expressed use of purchasing organization. RiskWatch disclaims any liability to the Client and to third parties in respect of the publication, reference, quoting, or distribution of this report or any of its contents to and reliance thereon by any third party. The Company has, in preparing the Report, followed methodology and procedures, at the identified point in time and exercised due care consistent with the intended level of accuracy, using its professional judgment and reasonable care. RiskWatch assumes no responsibility for acts of God, terrorism and catastrophic events resulting in incident or loss at any West Ward owned or managed properties. Any disclosure, use or duplication of this document or any of the information contained herein for other than the specific purpose for which it was disclosed is expressly prohibited, except as RiskWatch may otherwise agree to.